



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,196	08/01/2001	Manish Kothari	05652.P002	6094

7590                    09/24/2002

James H. Salter  
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
Seventh Floor  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

LAM, CATHY FONG FONG

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1775

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/921,196	KOTHARI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Cathy Lam	1775

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
  - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- Disposition of Claims**
- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 20-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
  - 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
  - 6) Claim(s) 8 and 10-19 is/are rejected.
  - 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.
  - 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on Aug 10 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                           | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2-4</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

Art Unit: 1775

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a hermetic seal, classified in class 106, subclass 14.44.
  - II. Claims 8-19, drawn to a micro electromechanical system, classified in class 428, subclass 320.2.
  - III. Claims 20-24, drawn to a method of creating a hermetic seal, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because one can use an organic resin material with silica for hermetic sealing. The subcombination has separate utility such as a glue in packaging food industry.

3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a

different process such as by slurry mixing. The process as claimed can be used to make a different product such as a peanut butter sandwich.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. During a telephone conversation with Atty: James Salter on Sept. 13<sup>th</sup> 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 8-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7 and 20-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, the phrase "the surface" is indefinite, as it is unclear whether this "surface" is referring to the first surface or the second surface, or both? Clarification is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 8, 10-13, and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenlee (US 4431691).

Greenlee discloses a composite structure comprised of two glass members (10,12) and a deformable sealant (16) (Fig. 1).

A spacer strip (14) is embedded within the deformable sealant (16) (col 4 L 23-24). The sealant (16) and the spacer strip (14) forms an elongated ribbon, which is placed on the peripheral between the two glass members (10,12).

The deformable sealant (16) is comprised of a thermoplastic, thermosetting or thermoplastic-thermosetting resin material (col 5 L 43-50). The sealant also comprised of a desiccant or a zeolite (col 6 L 18-23).

The deformable sealant (16) gives the glass members a hermetic seal (col 7 L 8-10). The desiccant used in a sealant is used to absorb moisture and organic materials, etc. (col 1 L 35-37).

Greenlee also teaches that the glass members (10,12) can be replaced by other materials such as metals, plastics, cement, etc. (col 3 L 58-59).

Greenlee teaches the present invention except for sealant is in beads form and is an electronic display screen.

Art Unit: 1775

Since applicant has not disclosed any criticality or advantages of using a bead form adhesive, the examiner takes the position that the invention would perform equally well with liquid or semi-liquid form adhesive. Since the prior art adhesive material is mixed with a zeolite or a desiccant, it would be obvious that Greenlee's sealant also traps moisture and other contaminants (col 4 L 65-66).

It would also be obvious that a glass pane laminate is for an electronic display screen because such structure is well know in the art.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

10. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if incorporate into independent claim(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-2418. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9604 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

  
Cathy Lam  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1775

cfl

September 19, 2002